



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OFFICE

COPY MAILED

AUG 18 2005

Director's Office
Office of Patent Publication

LADAS & PARRY
26 WEST 61ST STREET
NEW YORK NY 10023

In re Application of
Laurent De Volder
Application No. 10/018,302
Filed: November 8, 2002
Attorney Docket No. U 013688-5

:
:
:
:

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a response to the Reply To Decision On Petition, received in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) on July 7, 2005.

The Amendment After Allowance was referenced in the Decision On Petition, mailed May 31, 2005, because it was the only document submitted by the applicant that indicated replacement drawings were being submitted. However, as mentioned in the Decision, they could not receive the benefits under 37 CFR 1.8 because a signature was not provided in the Certificate of Mailing.

In regards to the postcard receipt, it only supports that the Letter Accompanying Amendment After Allowance and the Amendment After Allowance were received in the USPTO on August 15, 2003, which is after the due of August 13, 2003.

As indicated in the Decision On Petition of May 31, 2005, the applicant should consider filing a Petition For Revival of Abandoned Application Under 37 CFR 1.137 (a) or (b).

Thomas Hawkins
Paralegal Specialist
Office of the Director
Office of Patent Publication